

STEVEN KALAR
Federal Public Defender
JOYCE LEAVITT
Assistant Federal Public Defender
555 12th Street, Suite 650
Oakland, CA 94607-3627
(510) 637-3500

Counsel for Defendant ROBERT DOYLE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT THOMAS DOYLE,
Defendant.

No. CR 14 - 0092 JST

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
DATE; EXCLUSION OF TIME

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the status date for defendant Robert Doyle, currently scheduled for June 6, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., may be continued to July 11, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. for status. Defense counsel is still investigating and needs additional time for effective preparation for the next court date.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED THAT the time to July 11, 2014, should be excluded in accordance with the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation taking into consideration due diligence to enable counsel to complete investigations in preparation for the next court appearance.

1 DATED: 6/4/14

2 /s/
JOYCE LEAVITT
3 Assistant Federal Public Defender

4 DATED: 6/4/14

5 /s/
THOMAS MOORE
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 I hereby attest that I have permission of the parties to enter a conformed signature (/s/) for all
8 signatures within this e-filed document.

9 **ORDER**

10 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court date for Robert
11 Doyle, scheduled for June 6, 2014, is continued to July 11, at 9:30 a.m. for status.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time from June 6, 2014, to July 11, 2014, should be
13 excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(A) and
14 (h)(7)(B)(iv) for effective preparation of counsel taking into consideration due diligence to enable
15 counsel to complete investigations in preparation for the next court appearance. The Court finds
16 there is good cause and the ends of justice served by the granting of the continuance outweigh the
17 interests of the public and defendant in a speedy and public trial. Failure to grant the requested
18 continuance would unreasonably deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
19 taking into account due diligence.
20

21 SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: June 5, 2014
23
24
25
26

